

## Instruments and Methods

# A high-precision method for measurement of paleoatmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in small polar ice samples

Jinho AHN, Edward J. BROOK, Kate HOWELL

*Department of Geosciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-5506, USA  
E-mail: jinhoahn@gmail.com*

**ABSTRACT.** We describe a high-precision method, now in use in our laboratory, for measuring the CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of ancient air trapped in polar ice cores. Occluded air in ice samples weighing ~8–15 g is liberated by crushing with steel pins at –35°C and trapped at –263°C in a cryogenic cold trap. CO<sub>2</sub> in the extracted air is analyzed using gas chromatography. Replicate measurements for several samples of high-quality ice from the Siple Dome and Taylor Dome Antarctic ice cores have pooled standard deviations of <0.9 ppm. This high-precision technique is directly applicable to high-temporal-resolution studies for detection of small CO<sub>2</sub> variations, for example CO<sub>2</sub> variations of a few parts per million on millennial to decadal scales.

## INTRODUCTION


Carbon dioxide is the most important greenhouse gas directly impacted by human activities. The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio has increased 25% since the industrial revolution (Etheridge and others, 1996; MacFarling Meure and others, 2006), and its continuing increase will contribute a major fraction of future global warming (Solomon and others, 2007). The transfer of carbon between reservoirs in the carbon cycle ultimately controls the fate of fossil-fuel-derived CO<sub>2</sub>, but how climate and the carbon cycle are linked is only partly understood. Studies of how atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and climate are related in geologic history contribute to our understanding of Earth's climate system. Ice cores are unique archives that allow direct measurement of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> content over 800 ka (Macfarling Meure and others, 2006; Lüthi and others, 2008), expanding instrumental CO<sub>2</sub> records, which began only in 1958 (C.D. Keeling and T.P. Whorf, [http://gcmd.nasa.gov/records/GCMD\\_CDIAC\\_CO2\\_SIO.html](http://gcmd.nasa.gov/records/GCMD_CDIAC_CO2_SIO.html)). CO<sub>2</sub> records derived from Antarctic ice cores are widely demonstrated to be representative of atmospheric concentrations over several glacial–interglacial cycles (Fischer and others, 1999; Petit and others, 1999; Kawamura and others, 2003; Siegenthaler and others, 2005; Lüthi and others, 2008). However, this is not the case for CO<sub>2</sub> records from Greenland ice cores where dust is present in high concentration. The dust includes carbonates (Anklin and others, 1995, 1997; Barnola and others, 1995; Smith and others, 1997a,b) and organic compounds (Tschumi and Stauffer, 2000) within the ice core, giving rise to in situ CO<sub>2</sub> production. There is general agreement of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> trends within deep Antarctic ice cores on millennial and longer timescales. However, disagreements in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of up to ~5–20 ppm between comparable cores and laboratories have been reported (Fischer and others, 1999; Petit and others, 1999; Stauffer, 2006).

Given these discrepancies, there is an increasing demand for high-precision measurements combined with high-temporal-resolution CO<sub>2</sub> concentration records, to decipher the exact mechanisms controlling Earth's climate system on

millennial or sub-millennial timescales. For example, understanding how atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> varied with respect to abrupt climate change during the last ice age (e.g. Stauffer and others, 1998; Ahn and Brook, 2007, 2008) or during climate cycles of the late Holocene (MacFarling Meure and others, 2006) requires, in some cases, decadal data with a precision of at least 2 ppm, and preferably better. In addition, in deep ice-coring projects ice availability is limited, requiring analytical techniques suitable for small samples of <10 g. We note that the air age distribution also limits time resolution. Usually, low accumulations at coring sites give large age distributions and limit time resolution of gas records in ice cores (Spahni and others, 2003).

Analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> in a small quantity of ice is challenging, primarily because of variable desorption and adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in the extraction line and chamber due to water-vapor content (Zumbrunn and others, 1982). Air should be extracted using a 'dry' mechanical method rather than simpler 'wet' extraction (melting under vacuum), as melting may induce a carbonate–acid reaction and increase CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the sample (Delmas and others, 1980). Conventional dry extraction methods include crushing ice with metal needles (Zumbrunn and others, 1982; Wahlen and others, 1991) or metal balls (Delmas and others, 1980), milling with blades (Moor and Stauffer, 1984; Nakazawa and others, 1993) or a 'cheese grater' (Etheridge and others, 1988). All methods require sustained cold conditions in the vacuum chamber. We chose to build a 'needle crusher' (Fig. 1) capable of crushing small (~10 g) ice samples. The milling techniques and crushing with metal balls at present require relatively large samples (>500 and ~40–50 g for blades and metal balls, respectively).

The infrared laser spectroscopy (IRLS) method has been used successfully in several laboratories for analysis of air (<1 cm<sup>3</sup> STP) extracted from small ice samples (<10 g; Zumbrunn and others, 1982; Wahlen and others, 1991). However, the analytical precision with IRLS is generally ~0.5% (1σ), corresponding to 1.5 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> for measurements of bubble-free single-crystal ice (Monnin and others, 2001; Lüthi and others, 2008). Instead, we used gas chromatography (GC) to analyze the small amount of air



**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram of the newly developed dry extraction system at Oregon State University for paleoatmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> trapped in ice cores. See text for details. He-CCR indicates a helium closed-cycle refrigerator.

liberated from  $\sim 8$ – $15$  g ice. Utilizing this technique under optimized conditions, we obtained good precision,  $\sim 0.3$ – $0.4\%$  for the Siple and Taylor Dome bubbly ice (pooled standard deviations), corresponding to  $\sim 0.9$  ppm in glacial or interglacial ice. An additional advantage of this technique is the potential to simultaneously measure other gas species in addition to CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O (not described in this paper)). Here we describe our method, its application to several ice cores and the potential of our system for use in future ice-core studies.

## DRY GAS EXTRACTION

Our extraction system is composed of three main components: a vacuum system, a crushing system and a cryogenic cold trap to condense air released from the crushed ice (Fig. 1).

The pumping system is composed of a turbomolecular pump backed by a dry (scroll) pump, ensuring a clean vacuum system. Electropolished stainless steel was used for all vacuum lines. Parts are connected by VCR fittings with clean Swagelok<sup>®</sup> OFHC copper gaskets. Bellows-sealed Swagelok<sup>®</sup> valves with stainless-steel stem tips are used. Pressure gauges exposed to samples (P1 in Fig. 1) and standards (P2) are ultra-clean MKS products (Baratron<sup>®</sup> capacitance manometer 626 for P1 and Baratron<sup>®</sup> pressure transducer 750 for P2). The crushing chamber is a custom-designed double-walled stainless-steel vacuum chamber sealed with Conflat<sup>™</sup> flanges. Using a closed-circulation chiller (Lauda Proline RP855), cold ethanol is circulated between the inner and outer walls to cool the chamber to temperatures that can be controlled to as low as  $-35$  to  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The inner volume of the crushing chamber is  $\sim 200\text{ cm}^3$ . Ice is crushed with a 'needle plate', a stainless-steel plate containing 91 steel pins, affixed to a heavy-duty pneumatically actuated bellows-based linear motion feed-through (MDC Vacuum Products). The size of crushed ice particles is mostly  $<1$  mm. The extraction efficiency for crushing samples of 8–13 g is generally  $\sim 80$ – $90\%$  (efficiency is the amount of air extracted divided by total air in the sample) for bubbly ice. With larger samples the efficiency decreases. Slight redesign of the pin end shape or pin number density may improve extraction.

The exchange gas cryostat accommodates six stainless-steel sample tubes (Fig. 1), sealed with Swagelok<sup>™</sup> bellows-sealed valves, and can cool the tubes to  $10.5\text{ K}$ . The cryostat was constructed to our specifications by Janis Research Corporation, and allows the tubes to be replaced after a set of gas extractions, allowing faster analysis. Each stainless-steel sample tube is  $\sim 39.3$  cm long with  $0.63$  cm outer diameter and an internal volume of  $\sim 6\text{ cm}^3$ . One end was closed by welding. A manifold system connects gas extracted from the ice to six sample tubes.

Analytical procedures are as follows. The crushing chamber, sample tubes and extraction line are pumped under vacuum overnight. On the morning of extraction, ice samples are carefully trimmed to remove  $\sim 0.5$ – $2.0$  cm of surface ice, and then cut into a  $\sim 3$ – $4$  cm diameter disk with thickness  $\sim 1.0$ – $1.5$  cm. Sample size varies from 8 to 15 g. Ice samples are stored and cut in a walk-in freezer at  $-25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Ice samples are loaded into the ice crusher by opening the crusher while ultra-pure N<sub>2</sub> gas is flushed to the top and bottom sections (Fig. 1). After loading, the crusher is sealed with a Cu gasket. After pumping the chamber for  $\sim 10$ – $15$  min the ice is crushed, typically taking  $\sim 10$ – $30$  s for the bubbly ice. Some ice samples have no bubbles but air is trapped in the ice crystal lattice (clathrate) at great depths and this ice is harder to crush than bubbly ice. We crush for  $\sim 60$ – $180$  s for the clathrate ice, with a frequency of about one or two strokes per second. The liberated air is expanded to a stainless-steel coil water trap in an ethanol Dewar flask at approximately  $-85^{\circ}\text{C}$  to dry the air for 3 s. The air is then expanded to pressure gauge P1 (Fig. 1) to measure the amount of gas liberated. Finally, the air is expanded to the manifold and condensed in a  $0.63$  cm stainless-steel sample tube housed in a cryogenic system at  $\sim 10.5\text{ K}$ . Gas condensation is monitored by pressure gauge P1. Typically, the pressure in the crusher drops below the detection limit ( $10\text{ mtorr}$ ) in  $<90$  s. To ensure complete trapping of air in the sample tube, we open the tube valve for 180 s for bubbly ice. For deep clathrate ice from the Byrd ice core, an additional 90 s for degassing prior to gas trapping was allowed, and an additional 60 s of trapping (total of 330 s after crushing the ice) was deemed necessary. This was because clathrate ice from the Byrd ice core showed slow degassing after crushing.

The CO<sub>2</sub> content of the analyzed air is affected by adsorption and desorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in the crusher, extraction line and sample tubes. The net effect is measured by introducing standard air over the ice sample in the crusher and following the same gas-extraction procedure as for a crushed sample. This is referred to as an 'internal standard'. Each measurement for a crushed sample is corrected by adding the offset of the internal standard to, or subtracting it from, its calibrated value for that standard. CO<sub>2</sub> measurements for internal introduced standards prepared before and after crushing ice usually differed by ~1 ppm, occasionally up to 2 ppm. To make a precise correction for the measurements for Taylor Dome M3C1 and Siple Dome cores, we based the correction on the mean of internal standards introduced before and after crushing each ice sample. In a given day, we routinely measured two to four ice samples in the early stage of method development, then increased the number of samples to four to six by adding a multiple manifold-tube system.

The crushing process itself might also alter the CO<sub>2</sub> content of an extracted sample, perhaps due to CO<sub>2</sub> production or degassing from flexing of the metal bellows. To examine the importance of this issue we crushed air-free ice in the presence of standard air. We made air-free ice by boiling deionized water in a cylindrical vacuum chamber with a ~30 cm long, 0.32 cm diameter tube fixed to the outlet of a high-vacuum valve fixed to a Conflat™ flange that seals the chamber. Boiling for 30 min drives both steam and air from the vessel, and the vacuum valve is closed at the end of the boiling process to prevent laboratory air from entering the chamber. The water in the chamber is frozen slowly in an ethanol bath to create air-free ice. We found that crushing caused  $0.96 \pm 1.02$  (1 $\sigma$ ) ppm CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment of standard air ( $n = 11$  measurements on six different days over 3.5 months).

## GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

We applied GC to the small quantity of air extracted (~1 cm<sup>3</sup> at STP) from ~8–15 g of ice. Before analysis by GC, sample tubes containing the trapped air were warmed for 10 min in a water bath set to ~50–60°C. The line used for the GC system was pumped using a turbomolecular pump backed with a scroll pump, in the same way as the gas extraction line.

All measurements in this study were performed using an Agilent 6890N GC. The GC system is fitted with a Ni catalyst that converts CO<sub>2</sub> to methane, which is subsequently detected by a flame-ionization detector. The GC settings and other parameters are shown in Table 1. N<sub>2</sub> rather than He is used as the carrier gas, because the detector is more stable over a greater range of air-flow rate and temperature conditions with the N<sub>2</sub>. Signals are acquired and integrated using Agilent ChemStation software. Air samples in the tubes are expanded to the GC via a vacuum inlet line connected to a sample loop (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) installed on a six-port two-position Valco valve. Owing to the small sample size, the loop is kept under vacuum. Sample pressure in the loop is generally 10–40 torr, and is measured with a high-precision MKS 622 Baratron capacitance manometer (accuracy >0.15%). The gas pressure in the sample loop depends on temperature. The sample loop is mounted outside the GC, and temperature variations could produce variability in our results. To test the significance of temperature-dependent variability, we conducted some measurements with the loop mounted in the GC oven, where the temperature was set to 60°C.

**Table 1.** Settings for gas chromatography

|  |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Carrier gas (N <sub>2</sub> ) flow rate                  | 40 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup>  |
| Sample loop volume                                       | 5 cm <sup>3</sup>                     |
| Oven temperature   | 60°C                                  |
| Column packing material                                  | Porapak Q                             |
| Column mesh  | 80/100                                |
| Column line material                                     | stainless steel                       |
| Column length  | 183 cm (6 ft)                         |
| Column internal diameter                                 | 2.16 mm                               |
| Ni-catalyst temperature                                  | 375°C                                 |
| Flame-ionization detector temperature                    | 200°C                                 |
| Flame-ionization detector H <sub>2</sub> flow            | 55 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup>  |
| Flame-ionization detector air flow                       | 350 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> |
| Flame-ionization detector make-up (N <sub>2</sub> ) flow | 40 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup>  |

Data precision was not improved, indicating that temperature variations in the laboratory are not large enough to create a detectable effect.

The GC system was calibrated with dry standard air of known CO<sub>2</sub> concentration from one of two standard air cylinders, 197.54 or 291.15 ppm ( $\pm 0.01$  ppm; US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory, Global Monitoring Division). The standard air was directly introduced to the sample loop at a pressure range comparable to the sample air aliquots. Typical daily precision for standard air is ~0.2 ppm (1 $\sigma$ ) for  $n = 5$ –10 measurements (197.54 or 291.15 ppm).

A daily calibration curve was constructed using a second-order polynomial fit to the CO<sub>2</sub> peak area vs total air pressure for the aliquots of standard air. We determined the unknown CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of the sample air using the linear relationship between the ratio of peak area to air pressure and the CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio. Details are as follows.

First we fit a second-order polynomial equation to the CO<sub>2</sub> peak area vs air pressure in the sample loop

$$A_{\text{CO}_2, \text{air}} = aP_{\text{air}}^2 + bP_{\text{air}} + c, \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  represents a peak area integrated in the GC,  $P_{\text{air}}$  is air pressure in the sample loop before measurement and  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are coefficients obtained by regression. To obtain the coefficients of Equation (1), several measurements were performed for standard air over the pressure range expected for air samples extracted from ice. The second-order coefficient is four orders of magnitude lower than the first-order coefficient, but using the second-order equation slightly improves precision (0.1 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> or less) relative to a linear relation. Coefficient  $c$  in Equation (1) represents the blank in GC analysis. A test with ultra-zero air (<0.5 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) gave a peak area that falls within the range of values determined for  $c$  in daily calibration curves over a 2 year period. Thus, the effective signal ( $A_{\text{CO}_2, \text{air}} - c$ ) is proportional to  $P_{\text{air}}$  and the CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio,  $[\text{CO}_2]$ , and the unknown CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios of the air samples are determined by

$$[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{unknown}} = [\text{CO}_2]_{\text{standard}} \times [(A_{\text{CO}_2, \text{air}} - c) / (aP_{\text{air}}^2 + bP_{\text{air}})]. \quad (2)$$

In order to test the linearity between  $[\text{CO}_2]$  and  $[(A_{\text{CO}_2, \text{air}} - c) / (aP_{\text{air}}^2 + bP_{\text{air}})]$ , we developed a calibration curve with standard air of low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (197.54 ppm) and then analyzed a different standard air with a CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of 291.15 ppm. The difference between estimation by measurements and assigned concentration was

**Table 2.** Characteristics of Antarctic ice cores from which CO<sub>2</sub> was measured

| Core name                | Mean annual temperature<br>°C | Mean accumulation rate<br>g cm <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup> (w.e.) | Elevation<br>m    | Core depth range analyzed<br>m | Ice property |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Siple Dome               | -25.4 <sup>a</sup>            | 12.4 <sup>b</sup>   | 621 <sup>c</sup>  | 180–820                        | Bubbly ice   |
| Taylor Dome              | -42 <sup>d</sup>              | 7 <sup>e</sup>  | 2374 <sup>e</sup> | 167–405                        | Bubbly ice   |
| WAIS Divide <sup>f</sup> | -31                           | 24  | 1759              | 140–298                        | Bubbly ice   |
| Byrd <sup>g</sup>        | -28 <sup>g</sup>              | 16 <sup>g</sup>   | 1530 <sup>g</sup> | 1315–2145 <sup>h</sup>         | Clathrate    |

<sup>a</sup>Severinghaus and others (2001); <sup>b</sup>Hamilton (2002); <sup>c</sup>Taylor and others (2004b); <sup>d</sup>Waddington and Morse (1994); <sup>e</sup>cm ice equivalent per year (Steig and others, 1998); <sup>f</sup><http://www.waisdivide.unh.edu/About/SiteDetails.html>; <sup>g</sup>Raynaud and others (1993); <sup>h</sup>Ahn and Brook (2007, 2008).

~0.2–1 ppm on average over the course of 2 years. Determination of a low-CO<sub>2</sub> content (197.54 ppm) standard air with a calibration using a high-CO<sub>2</sub> content (291.15 ppm) standard air gave similar differences between the assigned and measured values. These two standards span most of the

**Table 3.** CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from Antarctic ice cores from this study

| Core name         | Depth<br>m | CO <sub>2</sub><br>ppm | Sample size<br>g | Date<br>day/month/<br>year |
|-------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 167.71     | 282.5                  | 8.7              | 22/3/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 167.71     | 281.8                  | 10.3             | 8/6/2007                   |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 167.75     | 280.8                  | 10.4             | 20/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 167.75     | 279.6                  | 10.3             | 20/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 167.75     | 280.6                  | 11               | 21/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 167.71     | 275.4*                 | 8.6              | 1/6/2007                   |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 239.93     | 276.7                  | 10.2             | 19/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 239.96     | 275.5                  | 11               | 21/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 310.81     | 267.7                  | 8.2              | 22/3/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 310.82     | 269.0                  | 8.9              | 1/6/2007                   |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 380.79     | 191.2                  | 9.2              | 15/3/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 380.81     | 191.0                  | 8.8              | 17/5/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 391.34     | 199.3                  | 10.8             | 20/3/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 391.03     | 200.0                  | 9.8              | 15/5/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 392.92     | 201.1                  | 10.6             | 22/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 393.80     | 201.6                  | 10.6             | 15/3/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 393.82     | 201.8                  | 9.4              | 17/5/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 405.01     | 207.2                  | 10.7             | 26/6/2007                  |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 405.02     | 207.8                  | 10.3             | 26/6/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 180.28     | 280.1                  | 9.9              | 21/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 180.30     | 281.0                  | 9.6              | 29/5/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 240.24     | 279.6                  | 9.2              | 21/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 240.26     | 281.2                  | 10.4             | 19/6/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 365.91     | 275.7                  | 9                | 23/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 365.88     | 276.7                  | 9.3              | 31/5/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 469.33     | 266.5                  | 9.3              | 23/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 469.31     | 266.8                  | 10               | 31/5/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 728.84     | 188.0                  | 8                | 14/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 728.84     | 188.9                  | 9.6              | 15/5/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 772.33     | 195.7                  | 9                | 14/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 772.33     | 198.1                  | 9                | 14/5/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 803.10     | 202.2                  | 10               | 12/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 803.11     | 202.3                  | 10.5             | 12/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 819.61     | 210.2                  | 9.2              | 13/3/2007                  |
| Siple Dome core A | 819.61     | 209.9                  | 10.6             | 22/6/2007                  |


\*An outlier datum by Q-test (confidence level of 90%).

range expected for late Quaternary CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Siegenthaler and others, 2005). Gas-chromatographic techniques can yield non-linear responses and, in principle, two air standards might not completely describe the detector response. However, we measured the standard air over a wide range of absolute quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> (wide range of pressures in the sample loop), and obtained a good linearity between the two tanks. These observations support the conclusion that the GC response is linear between the two concentrations. To account for daily drift in the analysis, the run sequence alternates between sample and standard, so that every two to six samples (total 6–12 runs, 2 runs for each air sample) were bracketed by three or four standard air analyses.

## RESULTS

To demonstrate system performance we analyzed samples from several Antarctic ice cores from various glaciological environments (Table 2). Precision of replicate measurements can vary from core to core and depth to depth due to ice quality (fractures, recrystallization, etc.) or chemical impurities. The formation of clathrates at depth also influences the reproducibility of CO<sub>2</sub> measurements by dry extraction. This will be discussed below.

Duplicate measurements of 8–11 g samples from the Siple Dome A core (Fig. 2a) and Taylor Dome M3C1 core (Fig. 2b) were made and the pooled standard deviations for these duplicates are 0.84 (16 samples from 8 depths) and 0.83 ppm (18 samples from 8 depths), respectively (Table 3). This is comparable to the best results from the average of four to six replicates at other facilities. Siple Dome ice for the last glacial age was highly fractured and we avoided the fractures by trimming. The good agreement between duplicate samples from the Siple Dome core indicates that the contamination due to invisible fractures and/or drill fluid is negligible. In Figure 2 the results are compared with previous measurements at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO; Ahn and others, 2004) for Siple Dome, and the University of Bern (UB; Indermühle and others, 1999, 2000) for Taylor Dome. The individual measurements for Oregon State University (OSU) are plotted, whereas data presented from UB and SIO are the mean of four to six measurements for each depth. Our data agree with those from SIO and UB for the nearest sample depths, within 5 ppm (Table 4). The glacial samples agree better than those of the Holocene, within 2 ppm except at one depth. As we have not measured exactly the same samples it is difficult to know whether differences are due to real variability in



**Fig. 2.** (a) Comparison of Siple Dome CO<sub>2</sub> data measured at OSU with data from the SIO (Ahn and others, 2004). (b) Comparison of Taylor Dome CO<sub>2</sub> data measured at OSU with data from the University of Bern (Indermühle and others, 1999, 2000). In most cases the replicates were measured several months apart and agree to better than 1 ppm (see Table 4).

atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, differences in standard scales or other analytical offsets. We are participating in an international laboratory intercomparison exercise for ice-core gases, including CO<sub>2</sub>, which should clarify some of these issues.

We also measured CO<sub>2</sub> in several samples from a shallow ice core (<300 m) drilled at the WAIS (West Antarctic ice sheet) Divide site. WAIS Divide is the site of a new deep-drilling program conducted by the US National Science Foundation, with a major objective of obtaining high-resolution greenhouse-gas records. We measured seven samples, two of which included a bubble-free layer ~1 mm thick, which is common in shallow cores from the WAIS Divide site. It is not known whether the layers are refrozen melt layers or snow crusts. However, these layers were initially a concern because of reports that melt layers can artificially enrich the CO<sub>2</sub> content of the ice (Neftel and

others, 1983; Stauffer and others, 1985; Ahn and others, 2008). We did not detect any enrichment associated with the bubble-free layer, and replicate analysis of ice in a 9 cm section at a depth of 297.6 m and a 3 cm section at 140.9 m gave 1 $\sigma$  precisions of 1.2 and 1.5 ppm, respectively. This confirms that the bubble-free layer does not significantly affect the CO<sub>2</sub> content. In addition, the excellent results from this ice show that records from WAIS Divide will allow measurements of small variations, of 10 ppm or less, for the last 1000 years (MacFarling Meure and others, 2006). The precision will be enhanced by replicate measurements. This is encouraging for future studies of the deep WAIS Divide ice cores.

CO<sub>2</sub> data from the Byrd ice core were obtained as part of a project to understand the role of CO<sub>2</sub> in millennial-scale climate variability during the last ice age (Ahn and Brook,

**Table 4.** Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration results among different laboratories

| Core name         | Number of replicates | Mean depth<br>m | Gas age*<br>ka | CO <sub>2</sub>         |                         |                  |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|                   |                      |                 |                | OSU <sup>†</sup><br>ppm | SIO <sup>‡</sup><br>ppm | OSU – SIO<br>ppm |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 180.29          | 1.32           | 280.6                   | 285.5                   | –4.9             |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 240.25          | 2.08           | 280.4                   | 284.8                   | –4.4             |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 365.91          | 4.10           | 276.2                   | 274.9                   | 1.3              |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 469.33          | 6.58           | 266.7                   | 268.8                   | –2.1             |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 728.84          | 22.73          | 188.5                   | 189.3                   | –0.8             |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 772.33          | 29.82          | 196.9                   | 194.0                   | 2.9              |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 803.11          | 34.68          | 202.2                   | 203.4                   | –1.2             |
| Siple Dome core A | 2                    | 819.61          | 37.14          | 210.1                   | 210.2                   | –0.1             |
|                   |                      |                 |                | OSU <sup>†</sup>        | Bern <sup>§</sup>       | OSU – Bern       |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 5                    | 167.73          | 2.01           | 281.1                   | 279.4                   | 1.7              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 2                    | 239.94          | 4.05           | 276.1                   | 273.9                   | 2.2              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 2                    | 310.81          | 7.02           | 268.3                   | 264.8                   | 3.5              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 2                    | 380.80          | 24.90          | 191.1                   | 190.6                   | 0.5              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 2                    | 391.03          | 31.97          | 199.6                   | 197.8                   | 1.8              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 1                    | 392.92          | 32.69          | 201.1                   | 199.5                   | 1.6              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 2                    | 393.81          | 32.96          | 201.7                   | 200.4                   | 1.3              |
| Taylor Dome M3C1  | 2                    | 405.02          | 36.40          | 207.5                   | 208.5                   | –1.0             |

\*Gas ages are on Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP2) ice-core timescale (Indermühle and others, 1999; Ahn and others, 2004; Ahn and Brook, 2007).

<sup>†</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> concentration analyzed at Oregon State University (this study).

<sup>‡</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations estimated by interpolation of data at Scripps Institution of Oceanography (Ahn and others, 2004).

<sup>§</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations estimated by interpolation of data at the University of Bern (Indermühle and others, 1999, 2000).

2007, 2008). CO<sub>2</sub> variations among replicate ice samples from the Byrd ice core at matching depths gave a pooled standard deviation of ~3.0 ppm for 14 samples from 7 depths. This is much larger than those of the Siple Dome and Taylor Dome ice cores (<1 ppm). We expedited the experimental process and employed an average of two or three internal standards for two to four ice samples (Ahn and Brook, 2007, 2008). As such, not every sample was paired with a standard introduced before and after crushing, as described above. Using this method, the pooled standard deviation for 382 samples from 171 depths was 3.4 ppm after rejecting data from 4 depths (Ahn and Brook, 2007, 2008). We reduced the data uncertainty by making replicate measurements. The standard deviation of the mean for samples with two to five replicates averaged 1.5 ppm. Using these new data from ~90–20 ka, a new chronology for CO<sub>2</sub> was established. This was synchronized with Greenland ice-core records to study the link between high-latitude climate change and the carbon cycle during the last glacial period (for further discussion see Ahn and Brook, 2007, 2008).

## DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Our methods show excellent extraction and analytical performance for bubbly ice from Siple Dome, Taylor Dome and shallow WAIS Divide coring sites. Clathrate Byrd ice shows larger scattering of the data, although the uncertainty is still good enough to address current scientific issues. It is not clear whether this uncertainty is due to the physical properties of the ice or due to alteration during coring (Bender and others, 1995) or long-term storage (since 1968). Stauffer and others (2000) observed slow degassing after

crushing of clathrate ice samples. Owing to different degassing kinetics for specific gas species, the CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio changes with time (Stauffer and others, 2000) in the crusher and may give a low CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio for the short degassing period from the clathrate ice. Our method allowed more than 5.5 min for degassing. A longer degassing time would increase the desorption and adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in the extraction system and the precision would deteriorate. With the deepest ice core, at a depth of over ~2000 m, we observed an ~8–10% increase in gas pressure in the crusher 35–95 s after crushing. After 240 s of trapping sample air in the sample tube (total 330 s after ice crushing) from clathrate ice originating from Byrd ice, we usually observed a pressure of ~10 mtorr or less in the extraction line (P1; Fig. 1), indicating very little degassing from the ice. In order to check if our degassing time was sufficient, we also allowed 90–120 s longer trapping time (total 420–450 s after crushing) for several pairs of ice samples, but we observed no significant change in the mixing ratio, implying that our extraction time was sufficient. The appropriate gas extraction time will change from core to core and depth to depth within the same core. This is important, for example, in comparing Holocene CO<sub>2</sub> records from bubbly ice with penultimate interglacial CO<sub>2</sub> from clathrate ice.

Future studies should also include techniques that allow 100% gas-extraction efficiency without melting the ice. High fractionation of CO<sub>2</sub> occurs at depths where air bubbles coexist with clathrate crystals. As such, variable gas-extraction efficiency from bubbles and clathrate crystals gives distorted CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios (Stauffer and others, 2000; Kawamura and others, 2003). Sublimation techniques allow 100% gas-extraction efficiency; however, the technique

requires a long extraction times (Wilson and Long, 1997; Güllük and others, 1998) that substantially limit the acquisition of a temporal CO<sub>2</sub> series.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a dry extraction technique for the analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in ancient atmospheric air trapped in ice cores. Our method uses a needle crusher for extraction and GC for analysis of ~8–15 g of ice. The technique was applied to several Antarctic ice cores, and the overall uncertainty for high-quality samples from Taylor Dome and Siple Dome ice cores was better than 0.9 ppm (1 pooled standard deviation for the total individual ice samples). Our results were also compared with previous results from other laboratories, showing differences of <5 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>. This technique is suitable for high-precision and high-temporal-resolution studies of ice cores where snow accumulation is high (e.g. the WAIS Divide ice cores), and will improve our understanding of the carbon cycle and, ultimately, Earth's climate variability.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We greatly thank J. Ahrens for assistance in gas-chromatographic analysis and the staff of the US National Ice Core Laboratory for ice sampling and curation. M. Wahlen, K. Kawamura and B. Deck shared their invaluable experimental experience with us. Financial support was provided by the Gary Comer Science and Education Foundation, and US National Science Foundation grant OPP 0337891 to E.J.B.

## REFERENCES

- Ahn, J. and E.J. Brook. 2007. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and climate from 65 to 30 ka BP. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**(10), L10703. ([10.1029/2007GL029551](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029551).)
- Ahn, J. and E.J. Brook. 2008. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and climate on millennial timescales during the last glacial period. *Science*, **322**(5898), 83–85.
- Ahn, J. and 6 others. 2004. A record of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> during the last 40 000 years from the Siple Dome, Antarctica ice core. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**(D13), D13305. ([10.1029/2003JD004415](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004415).)
- Ahn, J., M. Headly, M. Wahlen, E.J. Brook, P.A. Mayewski and K.C. Taylor. 2008. CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in polar ice: observations from naturally formed CO<sub>2</sub> spikes in the Siple Dome (Antarctica) ice core. *J. Glaciol.*, **54**(187), 685–695.
- Anklin, M., J.M. Barnola, J. Schwander, B. Stauffer and D. Raynaud. 1995. Processes affecting the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured in Greenland ice. *Tellus*, **47B**(4), 461–470.
- Anklin, M. and 6 others. 1997. CO<sub>2</sub> record between 40 and 8 kyr BP from the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**(C12), 26 539–26 546.
- Barnola, J.M., M. Anklin, J. Porcheron, D. Raynaud, J. Schwander and B. Stauffer. 1995. CO<sub>2</sub> evolution during the last millennium as recorded by Antarctic and Greenland ice. *Tellus*, **47B**(1–2), 264–272.
- Bender, M., T. Sowers and V. Lipenkov. 1995. On the concentration of O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and Ar in trapped gases from ice cores. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **100**(D9), 18,651–18,660.
- Delmas, R.J., J.M. Ascencio and M. Legrand. 1980. Polar ice evidence that atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> 20 000 yr BP was 50% of present. *Nature*, **284**(5752), 155–157.
- Etheridge, D.M., G.I. Pearman and F. de Silva. 1988. Atmospheric trace-gas variations as revealed by air trapped in an ice core from Law Dome, Antarctica. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **10**, 28–33.
- Etheridge, D.M., L.P. Steele, R.L. Langenfelds, R.J. Francey, J.M. Barnola and V.I. Morgan. 1996. Natural and anthropogenic changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over the last 1000 years from air in Antarctic ice and firn. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**(D2), 4115–4128.
- Fischer, H., M. Wahlen, J. Smith, D. Mastroianni and B. Deck. 1999. Ice core records of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> around the last three glacial terminations. *Science*, **283**(5408), 1712–1714.
- Güllük, T., F. Slemr and B. Stauffer. 1998. Simultaneous measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O in air extracted by sublimation from Antarctica ice cores: confirmation of the data obtained using other extraction techniques. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**(D13), 15,971–15,978.
- Hamilton, G.S. 2002. Mass balance and accumulation rate across Siple Dome, West Antarctica. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **35**, 102–106.
- Indermühle, A. and 11 others. 1999. Holocene carbon-cycle dynamics based on CO<sub>2</sub> trapped in ice at Taylor Dome, Antarctica. *Nature*, **398**(6723), 121–126.
- Indermühle, A., E. Monnin, B. Stauffer, T. Stocker and M. Wahlen. 2000. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration from 60 to 20 kyr BP from the Taylor Dome ice core, Antarctica. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**(5), 735–738.
- Kawamura, K., T. Nakazawa, S. Aoki, S. Sugawara, Y. Fujii and O. Watanabe. 2003. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variations over the last three glacial–interglacial climatic cycles deduced from the Dome Fuji deep ice core, Antarctica using a wet extraction technique. *Tellus B*, **55**(2), 126–137.
- Lüthi, D. and 10 others. 2008. High-resolution carbon dioxide concentration record 650 000–800 000 years before present. *Nature*, **453**(7193), 379–382.
- MacFarling Meure, C. and 7 others. 2006. Law Dome CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**(14), L14810. ([10.1029/2006GL026152](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026152).)
- Monnin, E. and 7 others. 2001. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the last glacial termination. *Science*, **291**(5501), 112–114.
- Moor, E. and B. Stauffer. 1984. A new dry extraction system for gases in ice. *J. Glaciol.*, **30**(106), 358–361.
- Nakazawa, T. and 6 others. 1993. Measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations in air in a polar ice core. *J. Glaciol.*, **39**(132), 209–215.
- Neftel, A., H. Oeschger, J. Schwander and B. Stauffer. 1983. Carbon dioxide concentration in bubbles of natural cold ice. *J. Phys. Chem.*, **87**(21), 4116–4120.
- Petit, J.R. and 18 others. 1999. Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420 000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica. *Nature*, **399**(6735), 429–436.
- Raynaud, D., J. Jouzel, J.M. Barnola, J. Chappellaz, R.J. Delmas and C. Lorius. 1993. The ice record of greenhouse gases. *Science*, **259**, 926–934.
- Severinghaus, J.P., A. Grachev and M. Battle. 2001. Thermal fractionation of air in polar firn by seasonal temperature gradients. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, **2**(7), 1048. ([10.1029/2000GC000146](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GC000146).)
- Siegenthaler, U., T.F. Stocker, E. Monnin, D. Lüthi, J. Schwander and B. Stauffer. 2005. Stable carbon cycle–climate relationship during the late Pleistocene. *Science*, **310**(5752), 1313–1317.
- Smith, H.J., M. Wahlen, D. Mastroianni and K.C. Taylor. 1997a. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of air trapped in GISP2 ice from the last glacial maximum–Holocene transition. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**(1), 1–4.
- Smith, H.J., M. Wahlen, D. Mastroianni, K. Taylor and P. Mayewski. 1997b. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of air trapped in Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 ice formed during periods of rapid climate change. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**(C12), 26,577–26,582.
- Solomon, S. and 7 others, eds. 2007. *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, etc., Cambridge University Press.
- Spahni, R., J. Schwander, J. Flückiger, B. Stauffer, J. Chappellaz and D. Raynaud. 2003. The attenuation of fast atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>

- variations recorded in polar ice cores. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **30**(11), 1571. ([10.1029/2003GL017093](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017093).)
- Stauffer, B. 2006. CO<sub>2</sub> studies. In Elias, S.A., ed. *Encyclopedia of Quaternary science, Vol. 2*. Amsterdam, etc., Elsevier, 1181–1189.
- Stauffer, B., A. Neftel, H. Oeschger and J. Schwander. 1985. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in air extracted from Greenland ice samples. In Langway, C.C., Jr, H. Oeschger and W. Dansgaard, eds. *Greenland ice core: geophysics, geochemistry, and the environment*. Washington, DC, American Geophysical Union, 85–89.
- Stauffer, B. and 10 others. 1998. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and millennial-scale climate change during the last glacial period. *Nature*, **392**(6671), 59–62.
- Stauffer, B. and J. Tschumi. 2000. Reconstruction of past atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by ice core analyses. In Hondoh, T., ed. *Physics of ice core records*. Sapporo, Hokkaido University Press, 217–241.
- Steig, E.J., D.L. Morse, E.D. Waddington and P.J. Polissar. 1998. Using the sunspot cycle to date ice cores. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25**(2), 163–166.
- Taylor, K.C. and 13 others. 2004. Abrupt climate change around 22 Ka on the Siple Coast of Antarctica. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, **23**(1–2), 7–15.
- Tschumi, J. and B. Stauffer. 2000. Reconstructing past atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration based on ice-core analyses: open questions due to in situ production of CO<sub>2</sub> in ice. *J. Glaciol.*, **46**(152), 45–53.
- Waddington, E.D. and D.L. Morse. 1994. Spatial variations of local climate at Taylor Dome, Antarctica: implications for paleoclimate from ice cores. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **20**, 219–225.
- Wahlen, M., D. Allen, B. Deck and A. Herchenroder. 1991. Initial measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (1530 to 1940 AD) in air occluded in the GISP2 ice core from central Greenland. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **18**(8), 1457–1460.
- Wilson, A.T. and A. Long. 1997. New approaches to CO<sub>2</sub> analysis in polar ice cores. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**(C12), 26,601–26,606.
- Zumbrunn, R., A. Neftel and H. Oeschger. 1982. CO<sub>2</sub> measurements on 1 cm<sup>3</sup> ice samples with an IR laser-spectrometer (IRLS) combined with a new dry extraction device. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **60**(2), 318–324.

*MS received 6 June 2008 and accepted in revised form 13 December 2008*